

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 CHARLES M. SLAY,)
11)
12 Petitioner,) No. C 10-0601 CRB (PR)
13 vs.) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
14 R. GROUNDS, Acting Warden,)
15 Respondent(s).)
_____)

16
17 Petitioner, a state prisoner incarcerated at the Correctional Training
18 Facility in Soledad, California, has filed a pro se petition for a writ of habeas
19 corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 challenging the California Board of Parole
20 Hearings' ("BPH") August 15, 2008 decision to deny him parole.

21 **BACKGROUND**

22 On May 13, 1987, petitioner was sentenced to an indeterminate term of 25
23 years to life in state prison after a jury in Los Angeles County Superior Court
24 found him guilty of first degree murder.

25 Petitioner has been found not suitable for parole each time he has appeared
26 before the BPH. On December 17, 2009, the Supreme Court of California denied
27 review of his challenge to the BPH's decision of August 15, 2008.

28 **DISCUSSION**

1 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of
2 habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the answer and
3 serve on petitioner a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been
4 transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues
5 presented by the petition.

6 3. If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by
7 filing a traverse with the court and serving it on respondent within 30 days of his
8 receipt of the answer.

9 SO ORDERED.

10 DATED: May 5, 2010


11 CHARLES R. BREYER
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 G:\PRO-SE\CRB\HC.10\Slay, C1.osc.wpd
26
27
28